

**Evalveerimine 2010 Application EV5 University of Tartu, Culture and Society**

**Expert's opinion: The volume and level of R&D activities in comparison to international criteria.**

Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
The research and development in the field being evaluated is characterized by a sufficient volume of financing taking into account the particularities of the field of research and the profile of the institution.	Positive	
Research and development at the institution is characterized by contemporary and innovative range of topics for research.	Positive	
The institution has international cooperation projects in the field being evaluated and/or participates in various international cooperation networks.	Positive	
Experts' summary assessment	Positive	

**Expert's opinion: R&D infrastructure (working premises and auxiliary facilities).**

Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal the necessary working and auxiliary facilities (premises).	Positive	
The working facilities (premises) at the disposal of the institution's research groups in the field being evaluated are modern and fit for purpose.	Positive	
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal, in the case of experimental themes, the necessary equipment and instruments.	Positive	
The equipment and instruments at the disposal of the institution's research groups in the field being evaluated are, in the case of the experimental themes, modern and fit for purpose.	Positive	
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have access to databases, specialized literature and other research infrastructures.	Positive	
Experts' summary assessment	Positive	

**Expert's opinion: Qualification of researchers in comparison to international criteria.**

Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
A sufficient number of research staff are employed at the institution taking into account the volume and particularities of the R&D activities of the institution and the field being evaluated.	Positive	
A sufficient number of the research staff have a recognized academic degree corresponding to Estonian legislative acts.	Positive	

Doctoral dissertations have been successfully supervised in the last five years. Positive

Research staff in the field being evaluated have received sufficient national or international honours and/or awards. Positive


Research staff have published per researcher in the last 5 years a sufficient number of articles in international journals or peer-reviewed research monographs taking into account the particularities of the field of research being evaluated. Positive


Research staff have filed applications for patents or for plant variety rights certificates in the name of the institution in the last 5 years. Positive

Experts' summary assessment Positive


Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
Final assessment	Positive	With regard to the Faculties of Philosophy, Theology, Social Sciences and Education, the committee strongly suggests that they consider improving their internal mechanisms for research planning/strategy by setting up a steering committee that formulates and implements its research policy; for research quality assurance (wherever possible through international peer-review); for supervising, guiding and supporting PhD students.

Confirmed 20.05.2010

  
Roland Axtmann  
Chairperson of the evaluation committee;  
spokesperson of the subcommittee „Culture and Society“

  
Hans Brix,  
Spokesperson of the subcommittee  
„Biosciences and Environmental Sciences“

  
Kenneth Douglas,  
spokesperson of the subcommittee „Health“

  
Eric Gregoire,  
spokesperson of the Subcommittee  
„Natural Sciences and Engineering“