Evalveerimine 2010 Application EV36 National Institute for Health Development, Health

		activities in comparison to international criteria.
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
The research and development in the field being evaluated is characterized by a sufficient volume of financing taking into account the particularities of the field of research and the profile of the institution.	Positive	
Research and development at the institution is characterized by contemporary and innovative range of topics for research.	Positive	There is a clear need for modern developments and this could be provided by local and other collaborations, such as interaction with Biobank activities in Estonia, and beyond.
The institution has international cooperation projects in the field being evaluated and/or participates in various international cooperation networks.	Positive	There is need for national as well as international collaborations to make optimal, productive use of the unique databases.
Experts' summary assessment	Positive	
Expert's opinion: R&D infrastructu	re (working	premises and auxiliary facilities).
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal the necessary working and auxiliary facilities (premises).	Positive	It is not necessary for the NIHD to build up independent equipment and expertise to make best use of their databases, but links should be built for such purposes in Estonia.
The working facilities (premises) at the disposal of the institution's research groups in the field being evaluated are modern and fit for purpose.	Positive	
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal, in the case of experimental themes, the necessary equipment and instruments.	Positive	
The equipment and instruments at the disposal of the institution's research groups in the field being evaluated are, in the case of the experimental themes, modern and fit for purpose.	Positive	
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have access to databases, specialized literature and other research infrastructures.	Positive	
Experts' summary assessment Expert's opinion: Qualification of re	Positive esearchers in	comparison to international criteria.
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	:
A sufficient number of research staff are employed at the institution taking into account the volume and particularities of the R&D activities of the institution and the field being evaluated.	Positive	
A sufficient number of the research staff have a recognized academic degree corresponding to Estonian legislative acts	Positive	

Positive	
Positive	
Positive	
Positive	
Positive	
Evaluation	Comments
Positive	In view of the long-term, serviced databases available, higher-impact journals could be targetted, especially if the work were suitably supplemented by collaborative wet-lab input.
•	
	Positive Positive Positive Evaluation

Spokesperson of the subcommittee "Biosciences and Environmental Sciences"

Kenneth Douglas, spokesperson of the subcommittee "Health"

Eric Gregoire, spokesperson of the Subcommittee "Natural Sciences and Engineering"