Evalveerimine 2010 Application EV17 National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Health

Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
The research and development in the field being evaluated is characterized by a sufficient volume of financing taking into account the particularities of the field of research and the profile of the institution.	Positive	
Research and development at the institution is characterized by contemporary and innovative range of oppics for research.	Positive	The only group that came over as reasonably strong was Bloenergetics. One other project as presented to us was merely a database (important in itself, but not innovative research). The third as presented was traditional techniques based work on snake toxins and we got no feel that there was highly innovative work there.
The institution has international cooperation projects in the field being evaluated and/or participates in various international cooperation networks.	Positive	Bioenergetics has a strong collaboration with Grenoble , crucial in regard to its access to essential specialist instrumentation.
xperts' summary assessment xpert's opinion: R&D infrastructu	Positive	see above premises and auxiliary (scilities).
Subcriteria for evaluation		Comments
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal the necessary working and auxiliary facilities (premises).	Positive	
The working facilities (premises) at the disposal of the institution's escarch groups in the field being waluated are modern and fit for ourpose.	Positive	
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their ilsposal, in the case of experimental themes, the necessary equipment and instruments.	Positive	
he equipment and instruments at he disposal of the institution's esearch groups in the field being waluated are, in the case of the experimental themes, modern and fit or purpose.	Positive	
he institution's research groups in he field being evaluated have access o databases, specialized literature ind other research infrastructures.	Positive	
xperts' summary assessment expert's opinion: Qualification of re	Positive searchers in	i comparison to international criteria.
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
sufficient number of research staff re employed at the institution taking nto account the volume and articularities of the R&D activities of the institution and the field being valuated.	Positive	
sufficient number of the research taff have a recognized academic legree corresponding to Estonian	Positive	

Doctoral dissertations have been successfully supervised in the last five years.	Positive	Yes, and this depends on joint students with Grenoble. Low overall numbers.
Research staff in the field being evaluated have received sufficient national or international honours and/or awards.	Positive	Again resides predominantly in 1st sub-group.
Research staff have published per researcher in the last 5 years a sufficient number of articles in international journals or peer-reviewed research monographs taking into account the particularities of the field of research being evaluated.	Positive	Yes but mostly not the very top-rank journals.
Research staff have filed applications for patents or for plant variety rights certificates in the name of the institution in the last 5 years.	Positive	Not really relevant.
Experts' summary assessment	Positive	
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments
Final assessment	Positive	A heterogeneous application. Each sub-group works in isolation. They would each benefit by co-location with like-minded scientists.
Confirmed 20.05.2010 Roland Axtmann Chairperson of the evaluation committee spokesperson of the subcommittee "Cu	e; ture and Socie	ıty"
Hans Brix, Ham W	wi	
Spokesperson of the subcommittee "Biosciences and Environmental Science	es"	

Kenneth Douglas, spokesperson of the subcommittee "Health"

Eric Gregoire, spokesperson of the Subcommittee "Natural Sciences and Engineering"