Evalveerimine 2010 Application EV40 Estonian National Museum, Culture and Society

| Expert's opinion: The volume and | level of R&D | activities in |
|--|---|---|
| Subcriteria for evaluation | | Comments |
| The research and development in the | • | |
| field being evaluated is characterized by a sufficient volume of financing | | |
| taking into account the particularities of the field of research and the profile | Positive | |
| of the institution. | | |
| Research and development at the | | |
| institution is characterized by | Positive | |
| contemporary and innovative range of topics for research. | | |
| The institution has international | | |
| cooperation projects in the field being | Maniki | |
| evaluated and/or participates in various international cooperation | Positive | |
| networks. | | |
| Experts' summary assessment | Positive | |
| Expert's opinion: R&D infrastructu | re (working | premises an |
| Subcriteria for evaluation | Evaluation | Comments |
| The institution's research groups in | | |
| the field being evaluated have at their disposal the necessary working and | Positive | |
| auxiliary facilities (premises). | | |
| The working facilities (premises) at | | |
| the disposal of the institution's | Sauthica | |
| research groups in the field being evaluated are modern and fit for | Positive | |
| purpose. | | |
| The Institution's research groups in | | |
| the field being evaluated have at their disposal, in the case of experimental | Positive | |
| themes, the necessary equipment and instruments. | | |
| | | |
| The equipment and instruments at the disposal of the institution's | | |
| research groups in the field being evaluated are, in the case of the | Positive | |
| experimental themes, modern and fit | | |
| for purpose | | |
| The institution's research groups in | | |
| the field being evaluated have access to databases, specialized literature | Positive | |
| and other research infrastructures. | د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د د | taga ay ay daga ay ay ay ay ay ay ay ay |
| Experts' summary assessment | Positive | |
| Expert's opinion: Qualification of re | esearchers in | ı comparisor |
| Subcriteria for evaluation | Evaluation | Comments |
| A sufficient number of research staff | | |
| are employed at the institution taking into account the volume and | | |
| particularities of the R&D activities of | Positive | |
| the institution and the field being evaluated. | | |
| | | |
| A sufficient number of the research staff have a recognized academic | Positive | |
| degree corresponding to Estonian legislative acts. | ı usiyye | |
| N. S. D. Marie, S. Marie | | |

Doctoral dissertations have been successfully supervised in the last five Negative Current members of staff are in the processes of submitting or defending their theses Research staff in the field being evaluated have received sufficient Positive national or international honours and/or awards. Research staff have published per researcher in the last 5 years a sufficient number of articles in There are few publications under 1.1 but they are published in high-profile international international journals or peer-Positive iournals. reviewed research monographs taking into account the particularities of the field of research being evaluated. Research staff have filed applications for patents or for plant variety rights Positive certificates in the name of the institution in the last 5 years. Experts' summary assessment Positive Subcriteria for evaluation Evaluation Comments There is good strategic and visionary leadership -- both for the institution as a whole and in the area of research. We encourage the setting up of an international advisory board especially with respect to the current intellectual and physical development at the National Final assessment Positive Estonian Museum in order for it to formulate the future research programme in connection with the other relevant ongoing activities. Confirmed Roland Aktmann, Chairperson of the evaluation committee; spokesperson of the subcommittee "Culture and Society"

Hans Brix,

Spokesperson of the subcommittee "Biosciences and Environmental Sciences"

Kenneth Douglas,

spokesperson of the subcommittee "Health"

Eric Gregoire,

spokesperson of the Subcommittee "Natural Sciences and Engineering"