Evalveerimine 2010 Application EV13 Estonian Academy of Arts, Culture and Society

		activities in comparison to international criteria.	
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments	
The research and development in the field being evaluated is characterized by a sufficient volume of financing taking into account the particularities of the field of research and the profile of the Institution.	Positive	There's a need to develop further projects that will be externally funded since there is only one externally funded project (sustainability of research).	currently
Research and development at the institution is characterized by contemporary and innovative range of topics for research.	Positive		
The institution has international cooperation projects in the field being evaluated and/or participates in various international cooperation networks.	Positive		
Experts' summary assessment Expert's opinion: R&D infrastructu	Positive re (working	premises and auxiliary facilities).	
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments	
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal the necessary working and auxiliary facilities (premises).	Positive	No clearly identified (separated) space; space appears to be at a premium.	
The working facilities (premises) at the disposal of the institution's research groups in the field being evaluated are modern and fit for purpose	Positive		
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have at their disposal, in the case of experimental themes, the necessary equipment and instruments.	Positive		
The equipment and instruments at the disposal of the institution's research groups in the field being evaluated are, in the case of the experimental themes, modern and fit for purpose.	Positive		
The institution's research groups in the field being evaluated have access to databases, specialized literature and other research infrastructures.	Positive		
Experts' summary assessment Expert's opinion: Qualification of re	Positive esearchers in	comparison to international criteria.	
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	Comments	
A sufficient number of research staff are employed at the institution taking into account the volume and particularities of the R&D activities of the institution and the field being evaluated.	Positive	The committee recommends to develop a strategy for increasing the number of active staff.	f research-
A sufficient number of the research staff have a recognized academic degree corresponding to Estonian legislative acts.	Positive		

Doctoral dissertations have been successfully supervised in the last five years.	Positive	There's a surprisingly small number of PhD students; long duration until defense.
Research staff in the field being evaluated have received sufficient national or international honours and/or awards.	Positive	
Research staff have published per researcher in the last 5 years a sufficient number of articles in international journals or peer-reviewed research monographs taking into account the particularities of the field of research being evaluated.	Positive	
Research staff have filed applications for patents or for plant variety rights certificates in the name of the institution in the last 5 years	Positive	
Experts' summary assessment	Positive	
Experts' summary assessment Subcriteria for evaluation	Positive Evaluation	Comments
*,		The committee strongly suggests that the Unit of Assessment consider improving its internal mechanisms for research planning/strategy by setting up a steering committee
*,		The committee strongly suggests that the Unit of Assessment consider improving its
Subcriteria for evaluation	Evaluation	The committee strongly suggests that the Unit of Assessment consider improving its internal mechanisms for research planning/strategy by setting up a steering committee that formulates and implements its research policy; for research quality assurance (wherever possible through international peer -review); for supervising, guiding and supporting PhD students. We also recommend considering a closer affiliation with relevant
Subcriteria for evaluation Final assessment Confirmed 20.05.2010 Roland Axtmann, Chairperson of the evaluation committee	Evaluation Positive	The committee strongly suggests that the Unit of Assessment consider improving its internal mechanisms for research planning/strategy by setting up a steering committee that formulates and implements its research policy; for research quality assurance (wherever possible through international peer -review); for supervising , guiding and supporting PhD students. We also recommend considering a closer affiliation with relevant University institutions. A strategy is needed to ensure transfer of research findings into practice.
Subcriteria for evaluation Final assessment Confirmed 20.05.2010 Roland Axtmann, Chairperson of the evaluation committee spokesperson of the subcommittee "Cult	Evaluation Positive	The committee strongly suggests that the Unit of Assessment consider improving its internal mechanisms for research planning/strategy by setting up a steering committee that formulates and implements its research policy; for research quality assurance (wherever possible through international peer -review); for supervising , guiding and supporting PhD students. We also recommend considering a closer affiliation with relevant University institutions. A strategy is needed to ensure transfer of research findings into practice.
Subcriteria for evaluation Final assessment Confirmed 20.05.2010 Roland Axtmann, Chairperson of the evaluation committee	Evaluation Positive	The committee strongly suggests that the Unit of Assessment consider improving its internal mechanisms for research planning/strategy by setting up a steering committee that formulates and implements its research policy; for research quality assurance (wherever possible through international peer -review); for supervising , guiding and supporting PhD students. We also recommend considering a closer affiliation with relevant University institutions. A strategy is needed to ensure transfer of research findings into practice.

Kenneth Douglas, spokesperson of the subcommittee "Health"

Eric Gregoire, spokesperson of the Subcommittee "Natural Sciences and Engineering"